

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,755	01/05/2004		Jiin-Huey Chern Lin	LINJ3054/EM	LINJ3054/EM 1696	
23364	7590	12/01/2004		EXAMINER		
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			JENKINS, DANIEL J			
FOURTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				1742		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action 0	10/750,755	CHERN LIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel J. Jenkins	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception acception and acception acception acception to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction acception acceptance acception acceptance acception acceptance accepta	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See n is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office.	4) Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	· ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura et al.

Kimura et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Kimura et al. discloses a titanium alloy comprising:

alloying elements selected from a group comprising Bi in an amount of 0.01-1% (col. 5, line 9, and see Examples 10, 11 and 32); and

alloying elements selected from a group comprising Zr up to 10% and Mo up to 15% (see col. 3, line 39) and other additional alloying elements as claimed by Applicant and substantially overlapping those as claimed by Applicant, one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/750,755

Art Unit: 1742

skill determining the amount of selected alloying elements based on the overall composition. One of ordinary skill expected utility throughtout the selected range of alloy elements.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,572,815 ('815) in view of Kimura et al.

'815 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. '815 discloses a titanium alloy medical device comprising:

a titanium alloy comprising 0.01 to 3% Bi.

However, '815 does not disclose wherein the alloy further contains further alloying elements.

Art Unit: 1742

Kimura et al. teaches at col. 3, lines 12-60, to add Mo up to 15% and Zr up to 10%, and further alloying elements as claimed by Applicant in suitable amounts to improve machinability.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add alloying elements as taught by Kimura et al. to the base titanium alloy of '815 in order to further improve machinability.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Danie/J./Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742